North Bay Watershed Association



BAIRWMP Update/North Bay Sub-region December 13, 2012

I Plan Update-Project Ranking

II Round 2 Process for project selection

III Next Steps

I Plan Update-project ranking

- http://bairwmp.org
 Featured-Active Project List with scores-315 Projects
- Regional ~ 28 projects(2 or more sub-regions)
- East ~ 164
- South ~14
- West ~ 30
- North- 79 (~25%)

```
Tier 1--top 50 (R-12,N-16 (32%), E-19, W-3, S-0) #1-NBWRP
```

Tier 2->1/2 max score-55 projects (R-6, **N-20 (36%),**W-5, S-3)

Tier 3- remaining-210 Projects

II Round 2 Process

A. October 31 submittals

B. Request on multiple submittals

C. Conceptual Options

-address Planning and DACs

October 31 submittals

Call for projects for Round 2-Oct. 22

Response due Oct. 31

- 1)Implementation of your project can begin between 2014-16.
- 2) You have secured non-state **match funding greater than 25%** of your total project cost, or your project's primary benefit is to a Disadvantaged Community (DAC-no match) .
- 3. You will be able to clearly **define the physical benefits** for your project, as defined in the PSP.
- 4. You will be able to provide documentation before February, 2013 to conduct a **cost-benefit analysis** for your project.
- 5. You will be able to **pay** between \$2,500 and \$25,000 (depending on total funding you request) **for a consultant** to prepare the grant proposal.
- 6. The project will have a total grant request of approximately \$500,000 to a maximum of \$5 million

North Bay projects

21 Total

Amount requested- ~> \$ 20 m

Marin- $10 \sim 12 m

Napa- 5~ \$ 3 m

Solano- 2 < \$1 m

Sonoma- 3 < \$ 4 m

3 County- 1 < \$1 m

<u>Background</u>

- Up to **\$20.086 million** is available for the Bay Area in Prop 84 IRWM Grant Round 2
- \$20M is max if DAC target for CA is met; if not, more like \$18-20M).
- Prop 50 funding \$12M went to water conservation and recycling
- Prop 84 Round 1 \$30M went to "Regional" Projects based on limited funds and time constraints to carry out sub-regional process.
 - \$19M of \$30M went to water conservation and recycling.
 - \$10M of \$30M went to Wetland Restoration, Green Infrastructure, Projects for Disadvantaged Communities, and fishery projects. \$1M for Admin efforts.
- The allocation targets for each sub-region approved by the CC in 2008 will be tracked over P84 rounds to ensure geographic balance (N 25.02%, S 24.83%, E 28.65%, & W 21.50%).

Request on multiple submittals

67 projects indicated interest by Oct 31 (2 dropped since), requesting \$110M.

Each proponent with multiple projects was asked to prioritize similar type projects - approx 40 at Priority 1 requesting \$60M.

Conceptual Options

Presented to Bay Area CC- November 26

http://bairwmp.org/ Recent events-

- Most Integrated
- Sub –Regional
- Functional Area Emphasis
- Climate Change Emphasis
- Hybrids
 - -also looked at regional and DACs

Factors to Consider

- Fair and equitable allocation of funds throughout Region, Sub-regions, and Functional Areas
- Maintain stakeholder engagement throughout Subregions and Functional Areas
- Efficient use of resources
- Must meet DWR criteria for grant to assure a successful grant proposal:
 - Benefit/ Cost analysis (ability to provide detail for analysis)
 - Match (25% match or DAC waiver)
 - Readiness to proceed

A. Most Integrated

- Added DWR score~= "Best" projects
- Imposed Cap of \$2 million on project/entity
 12/12 EXCEL spread sheet
- Eventually 16 projects @ \$19.9 million

North- 9 projects= \$ 9.1 m

West- 4 Projects= \$ 4.75 m

East- 3 Projects= \$5 m

South O projects = \$0

Problem- No \$ to South

A. Most Integrated/DWR

- * "Slow It, Spread It, Sink It" in Sonoma, Napa and Marin County \$750,000
- Petaluma Flood Impact Reduction, Water & Habitat Quality, Recreation, Phase IV \$1,012,275
- San Francisco International Airport Industrial Waste Treatment Plant and Reclaimed Water Facility \$750,000
- Building Climate Change Resiliency Along the Bay with Green Infrastructure and Treated Wastewater
 \$2,000,000
- North Bay Water Reuse Program -- Sonoma Valley Recycled Water Project Phase 2 \$1,500,000
- **Conserving Our Watersheds** \$600,000
- Lagunitas Creek Watershed Sediment Reduction and Management Project \$630,000
- San Geronimo Valley Landowners Assistance Program Implementation* \$750,000
- Breuner Marsh Restoration and Access Project \$1,000,000
- EBMUD East Bayshore RWP Phase 1A \$2,000,000
- Sears Point Restoration Project \$2,000,000
- Daly City Expansion Recycled Water Project \$2,000,000
- Corte Madera Creek Sediment Control & Dr. Water Reliability \$900,000
- SFPUC Eastside Watershed Green Infrastructure \$2,000,000
- Bay Point Marsh Restoration Project \$1,000,000
- Napa River Restoration: Oakville to Oak Knoll \$1,000,000
- TOTAL (16 projects) \$19,892,275- dropped 2 small projects

Solano LT-\$170k and San Mateo-Pilarcitos-\$315 k

B. Sub -Regional

- North-10 Projects-\$ 5 m
- West-6 projects -~ \$ 4.3 m
- East- 5 projects- ~ \$5 m
- South- 3 projects ~ \$ 3.6 m (originally)
- Total- 24 projects~ \$ 18 m

Problems-

- too many projects
- some low scoring
- small projects < \$ 500k

North Bay process

- Targets- North bay 25%
 - Marin- .287
 - Napa- .235
 - Solano- .271
 - Sonoma- . 207
- Round 2-adjusted
 - Marin- \$ 1.646 m
 - Napa- \$ 1.347 m
 - Solano- \$.820 m
 - Sonoma-\$ 1.187 m

Suggestions

Each County lead should identify the best project (or projects) for the adjusted target amount for your county. I am discouraging sharing funds amongst multiple projects within a county unless absolutely necessary (minimize application and grant administration costs).

I suggest you consider the following factors:

- * Implementation over Planning/Assessment (only 5% max in grant)
- * Relative ability to meet DWR criteria- score well in an application on Benefit/Cost Analysis
- * Relative need- which projects do not have other sources of funds?
- * Prior funding (who received \$ in Round 1)
- * Level of "integration" number of Functional areas addressed in project
- * Relative ranking (if necessary)
- It may be necessary to encourage certain proponents to wait for round 3 and provide assurances that deferring will be a factor in round 3.
- Marin, Napa, and Sonoma should let me know if they support the 3 county project proposed- Implementing "Slow It, Spread It, Sink It" in Sonoma and Napa Counties (adding Marin County) and for how much-total request was for \$750k.

- Solano County 2 projects (Open space & watershed improve.) \$820,000
- Napa County 2 projects (Napa River restoration) \$1,347,000
- Sonoma County 2 projects (Petaluma flood mgt, slow/sink it) \$1,187,000
- Marin County 3 projects (all in Lagunitas watershed) \$1,646,000
- San Francisco City & County 3 projects (SFO recycle, GW, WGI) \$2,160,000
- San Mateo County 3 projects (watershed, flood mgt, DAC) \$2.160,000
- Santa Clara County 3 projects (Green Infr, RHMWC, Cons. Added) \$4,990,000 (was \$ 3.6 Million)
- Alameda County 3 projects (BCCR, I-80 P/L, Sausal Crk) \$3,500,000
- Contra Costa County 2 projects (Bruener, tbd) \$1,500,000
- TOTAL (23 projects)\$19,310,000

B. North bay projects

- Marin-3 projects combined on Lagunitas.
- -*Lagunitas Sediment- \$630 k (MMWD)
- San Geronimo Valley (Montezuma Creek?)
- -Conserving Our Watersheds (Lagunitas portion)-\$ tbd (MRCD)

<u>Napa</u>

- -Upper York Creek Dam removal project-\$800K (St. Helena)
- -Milliken Creek Flood Damage Reduction and Fish Passage Barrier Removal- \$500K

Solano

*Southwestern Solano County Open Space Acquisition and Watershed Assessment (combine w/Lynch Canyon watershed improvements)

<u>Sonoma</u>

- -Petaluma Flood Impact Reduction, Water & Habitat Quality, Recreation, Phase IV
- -Implementing "Slow It, Spread It, Sink It" in Sonoma

Other factors

- ■DWR considers projects separate if separate entity or separate under CEQA
- Therefore combining projects on Lagunitas or in Solano has no benefit with Grant application
- ■PSC decided proponents cannot combine lower scoring project-affects Solano LT

C. Functional Area Emphasis

- WW/R- 5 Projects-included **NBWRA**
- FC/SW- 4 projects —included **Petaluma**
- Hab./WS- 4 projects in Tier 1
 included Lagunitas, Napa -Oakville, Sears Point
- WS/WQ- \$2.5 million for Conservation
 - ? On other projects

Problems

- -only \$ 1 m to South
- Not all projects and amounts clearly identified

D. Climate Change

Top project –
 Building Climate Change-Ora Loma

Other projects included:

- a Marin/BCDC/SCVWD planning and Implementation project specifically for Climate Change adaptation
- Other projects that had some climate change attributes

Dropped as option

Hybrids

E-1- Start w/ **Option B-Sub regional**, reduce south to \$3M (incl \$500k Conservation), add \$2.2M Regional

E-2-Same as E1 except that \$1M of Regional amt is for planning (not likely)

E-3- Start with **Option A-Most Integrated/DWR**, include South at \$__M (#_ proj), and modify according to: ...

Hybrid E-1-Sub regional

- "Slow It, Spread It, Sink It" in Sonoma, Napa and Marin County \$250 k DROP
- Petaluma Flood Impact Reduction, Water & Habitat Quality, Recreation, Phase IV \$1,012,275->\$.825 m
 - ADD-North Bay Water Reuse Program -- Sonoma Valley Recycled Water Project Phase 2 \$1,000,000
- Conserving Our Watersheds \$600,000
- Lynch & S Sol- \$ 820 k-DROP
- Lagunitas Creek Watershed Sediment Reduction and Management Project \$630,000
- San Geronimo Valley Landowners Assistance Program
 Implementation* \$450,000 DROP
- Upper York-St Helena \$800 k
- Milliken N Co -\$500k
- ADD STRAW -\$500 k

North Bay Proposal on Hybrid E-3

- Reduce North bay projects (from 9 projects at \$ 9.1m to 6 for \$ 6.2 m)
- "Slow It, Spread It, Sink It" in Sonoma, Napa and Marin County \$750,00 DROP
- Petaluma Flood Impact Reduction, Water & Habitat Quality, Recreation, Phase IV \$1,012,275->> \$825 k
- North Bay Water Reuse Program -- Sonoma Valley Recycled Water Project Phase 2 \$1,500,000
- Conserving Our Watersheds \$600,000
- Lagunitas Creek Watershed Sediment Reduction and Management Project \$630,000
- San Geronimo Valley Landowners Assistance Program Implementation* \$750,000 DROP
- Sears Point Restoration Project \$2 m->\$1.5 m
- Corte Madera Creek Sediment Control & Dr. Water Reliability \$900,000 DROP
- Napa River Restoration: Oakville to Oak Knoll \$1,000,000
- Frees up \$ 2.975 million for South bay

Next Steps

- Hybrid E-3- Or E-1???
 - Today's call of Project Screening Committee
- December 17-will take agreed upon projects to
 CC and work out details on rest
- Consultant selected and will start working with agreed upon projects right away